Hale COLLAGE 2017 Lecture 15 Flare loop observations: imaging and spectroscopy II

Bin Chen (New Jersey Institute of Technology)

Outline

- Flare spectroscopy*
 - Review on some fundamentals
 - Atomic structure
 - line diagnostics
 - Suggested reading: Ch. 3 of P. Foukal, Ch. 2 of Tandberg-Hanssen & Emslie
 - Examples of flare spectroscopic observations
 - Chromospheric evaporation
 - Reconnection site

* This lecture focuses on optically thin (E)UV lines

Atomic energy levels



An hydrogen atom

Atomic levels of hydrogen: principle quantum number *n*



Atomic levels of hydrogen: orbital angular quantum number *l*

- As the energy levels increase, so do the number of "subshells" which are associated with the orbital angular momentum.
- *l* = 0, 1, ..., *n* − 1, labelled as *s*,
 p, *d*, *f*, *g*, *h*, *i* ...
 - The first energy level (n=1) has 1 subshell (s)
 - The second energy level (n=2) has 2 subshells (s & p)
 - The third energy level (n=3) has 3 subshells (s, p, & d)



Probability densities for a hydrogen electron

Atomic levels of hydrogen: Additional quantum numbers m_l , m_s

• m_l : magnetic (or projected) quantum number of the angular momentum, from -l to l, including 0



Two possible states

• m_s : spin quantum number, $-\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ for a single electron



Spectroscopic notation



Element	Symbol	Ζ	Ground configuration
Hydrogen	Н	1	1s
Helium	He	2	$1s^2$
Lithium	Li	3	$1s^22s$
Beryllium	Be	4	$1s^22s^2$
Boron	В	5	$1s^22s^22p$
Carbon	С	6	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^2$
Nitrogen	Ν	7	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^3$
Oxygen	0	8	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^4$
Fluorine	F	9	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^5$
Neon	Ne	10	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6$
Sodium	Na	11	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s$
Potassium	Κ	19	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6 4s$
Calcium	Ca	20	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6 4s^2$
Vanadium	V	23	$1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^64s^23d^3$
Chromium	Cr	24	$1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^2 3p^6 4s 3d^5$
Iron	Fe	26	$1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^64s^24p^6$

Table 2.1 of Aschwanden's book

Spin-orbit interaction and fine structure splitting

• Hydrogen Lyman- α line



- Multi-electron system:
 - Total orbital angular momentum: $L = \sum l_i$
 - Total spin angular momentum: $S = \sum s_i$
 - Total angular momentum: J = L + S
 - Total angular momentum number J ranges from |L S| to L + S
 - Multiplicity or "terms": r = 2S + 1

Spectroscopic notation: term symbol



Example: ground state of Na I is $1s^2 2s^2 2p^6 3s^{-2}S_{1/2}$

Line transition and selection rules

Grotrian diagram (or term diagram) for atomic hydrogen





Basic atomic transition processes

- Discrete bound-bound transitions
 - $\lambda = hc/\Delta E$, where ΔE is the energy difference of the two levels
 - Result in discrete emission or absorption lines
- bound-free and free-bound (recombination) transitions
 - $\lambda = hc/\Delta E$, now $\Delta E = E_i + \frac{1}{2}m_e v_e^2$, where E_i is the ionization energy of the bound state
 - Produce series limit continua. E.g., Lyman and Balmer continua at λ < 912 Å and 3646 Å.
- Free-free (bremsstrahlung) radiation



Line profiles

• Lorentzian:

$$I(\nu) \propto \frac{1}{(\nu - \nu_0)^2 + (\frac{\Delta \nu}{2})^2}$$

1

• Gaussian:

$$I(\nu) \propto \exp\left[-\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\ln 2}}{\Delta \nu}(\nu - \nu_0)\right)^2\right]$$

- $\Delta \nu$ determines the FWHM of the line
- Centroid position shift gives bulk velocity



Line profile: natural broadening

• Heisenberg uncertainty principle:



- Line shape function is *Lorentzian*
- Line width is typically very small (e.g., 0.46 mA for H-alpha)

Line profile: collisional broadening

- Electron orbitals can be perturbed by collisions with other particles
- Characteristic time τ_c is the mean free time between collisions
- Line shape function *Lorentzian*:

$$I(\nu) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\Delta \nu_c / 2}{(\nu - \nu_0)^2 + (\frac{\Delta \nu_c}{2})^2}$$

• Line width:

$$\Delta \nu_c = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{\tau_{c,u}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{c,l}} \right)$$



• Increases with density \hat{n} and temperature T.

Line profile: Doppler broadening

- Moving particles see different frequency: Doppler shift
- Doppler shift: $\frac{\Delta v}{v} = \frac{v \cos \theta}{c}$
- Maxwellian velocity distribution for thermal plasma

$$df(v) = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi kT}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{mv^2}{2kT}\right) dv$$

• Line profile is **Gaussian**: $I(\nu) \propto \exp\left[-\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\ln 2}}{\Delta \nu_D}(\nu - \nu_0)^2\right],\right]$ where $\Delta \nu_D = 1.67 \frac{\nu_0}{c} \sqrt{\frac{2kT}{m}}$ is the FWHM



Line profile: Doppler broadening

- Additional Doppler broadening due to turbulent macroscopic bulk motions
- Assuming the turbulent velocity distribution is also Maxwellian with a rms velocity v_{rms} , the FWHM becomes:

$$\Delta v_D = 1.67 \frac{v_0}{c} \sqrt{\frac{2kT}{m} + v_{rms}^2}$$

 The additional line width is sometimes referred to as *non-thermal line width*

Line intensity: differential emission measure

Recap from lecture 11

• Line intensity:

$$I_{\lambda} = \int \frac{G_{\lambda}(T_e)}{d^2} DEM(T) dT$$

• $G_{\lambda}(T_e)$ is the contribution function of the given line (erg cm³ s⁻¹ sr⁻¹), calculated from atomic physics (e.g., CHIANTI)



From Lecture 11

• I_{λ} is obtained from observation

DEM: EM loci approach

• Assume all the observed line intensity I_{λ} is produced by plasma with a total emission measure EM at a single temperature T_e

$$EM_{loci}(T_e) = I_{\lambda} / \frac{G_{\lambda}(T_e)}{d^2}$$

- $EM_{loci}(T_e)$ represents the upper limit of the true EM at this temperature. Such curves are known as **EM loci** curves
- Observation of multiple lines produces a set of EM loci curves, which constrain EM at different temperatures



Winebarger et al 2011

DEM reconstruction

- Observations (recap from Lecture 11)
 - From spectral data: $I_{\lambda} = \int \frac{G_{\lambda}(T_e)}{d^2} DEM_V(T) dT$
 - From filter-graph images: $B = \int R(T) DEM_c(T) dT$
- N measurements → N constraints on DEM(T)
- To form a DEM(T) curve, one needs many solutions at different T inder-constrained problem
- Approaches
 - Reduce # of free parameters by prescribing the DEM curve to, e.g., one or multiple Gaussians (e.g., Aschwanden et al 2013) or interpolated spline function (e.g., the Hinode/XRT method)
 - Monte-Carlo forward-fitting (e.g., Kashyap & Drake 1998)
 - Regularized inversion (Hannah & Kontar 2012)

line ratio diagnostics

- Certain line intensity ratios for a given ion can be used for density or temperature diagnostics
- At low densities, collisional de-excitation $(C_{ul}n_u)$ is not important, collisional excitation $(C_{lu}n_l)$ is balanced by spontaneous emission $(A_{ul}n_u)$



Line ratio diagnostics

- At high densities, collisional deexcitation becomes important.
- The radiative depopulation rate becomes negligible comparing to the collisional de-excitation. At the limit of LTE:

$$\frac{N_u}{N_l} = \frac{g_u}{g_l} \exp\left(\frac{-E_{ul}}{kT}\right)$$

 Now the line ratio achieves another limit

$$\frac{\varepsilon_{31}}{\varepsilon_{21}} = \frac{E_{31}A_{31}N_3}{E_{21}A_{21}N_2} = \frac{E_{31}A_{31}g_3}{E_{21}A_{21}g_2} \exp\left(\frac{-E_{23}}{kT}\right)$$

Observation from Hinode/EIS



Warren et al 2010

Flare spectroscopy: Instrumentation*

- Instrumentation:
 - (Extreme) Ultraviolet: SOHO/CDS,
 SOHO/SUMER, Hinode/EIS, IRIS,
 SDO/EVE...
 - Radio, optical, X-ray: VLA, EOVSA, BBSO, DST, RHESSI, Fermi...
- Current capabilities allow spatially-resolved line profiles to be obtained
 - Along a movable slit (diffraction grating): Hinode/EIS, IRIS, BBSO...
 - Fabry-Perot narrow-band imager: BBSO, DST...
 - Fourier transform: radio imaging spectrometers (VLA, EOVSA...)

*not an exhaustive list



IRIS (Tian et al 2014)



EIS observation of explosive evaporation



During the flare impulsive phase, plasma at the HXR footpoints was hot, upflowing, turbulent, and dense
Chromospheric evaporation
Milligan

Milligan 2011

Evaporation speed vs. temperature



Comparing to explosive evaporation model

- Upflow speed increases with T
- Downflow speed shows a weaker dependence on T
- Both are predicted by the models
- The "Flow Reverse Point" is where most of the electron energy is dumped into the chromosphere

The corresponding T (~1.5 MK) is a bit too high comparing to the Fisher model



Comparing to explosive evaporation model

- Upflow speed increases with T
- Downflow speed shows a weaker dependence on T
- Both are predicted by the models
- The "Flow Reverse Point" is where most of the electron energy is dumped into the chromosphere

The corresponding T (~1.5 MK) is a bit too high comparing to the Fisher model



Rubio da Costa et al 2015

Observation of gentle evaporation

• For $F_{fl} < F_{cr}$, "gentle evaporation", no associated downflows



Chromospheric Evaporation: IRIS observations

- IRIS provides high spatial resolution, time cadence, and sensitivity
- Provides one hot line (Fe XXI; ~10 MK) sensitive to flaring plasma and many cool lines for chromospheric/transition region plasma



UV continuum emission from flare ribbon



Evaporation speed and energy release rate



Fe XXI blue shift is correlated with HXR or SXR derivative (Tian et al. ApJ, 2015; Li et al. ApJ, 2015)

Chromospheric evaporation: temporal evolution Fe XXI

1000

ntensity (photons)



Graham & Cauzzi 2015



Mg II

2792.0

Chromospheric evaporation: temporal evolution



- Fe XXI blue shift slows down after impulsive phase
- Si IV redshift also slows down, but more rapidly
- Consistent with modeling results
- But maximum evaporation lags behind condensation by ~68 s

Flows around the reconnection site



Summary

- Atomic structure basics
- Emission lines provide rich diagnostics
 - Line profile diagnoses bulk flow and Doppler width
 - Line intensity diagnoses density, temperature, DEM
- Spatially-resolved (E)UV spectroscopy is a great tool for studying thermal flare plasma
- Following weeks: nonthermal particles and radiation, where radio/X-ray spectroscopy plays an important role