

- Earth
 - Field strength $\sim 0.5 G$
 - $\bullet\,$ Magnetic field present for $\sim 3.5\cdot 10^9$ years, much longer than Ohmic decay time ($\sim 10^4$ years)
 - Strong variability on shorter time scales (10³ years)
- Mercury, Ganymede, (Io), Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, Neptune have large scale fields
- Sun
 - Magnetic fields from smallest observable scales to size of sun
 - 22 year cycle of large scale field
 - Ohmic decay time $\sim 10^9$ years (in absence of turbulence)
- Other stars
 - Stars with outer convection zone: similar to sun
 - Stars with outer radiation zone: primordial fields, field generation in convective core
- Galaxies
 - Field strength $\sim \mu G$
 - · Field structure coupled to observed matter distribution

Geomagnetism

Short-term variation on scales of hundreds of years



- Independent movement of the poles
- South and North pole are in general not opposite to each other (higher multipoles)
- Movements up to 40 km/year ($\sim 1 \text{ mm/sec}$)

Geomagnetism

Mostly dipolar field structure (currently)



Geomagnetism

Long-term variation on scales of thousands to millions of years (deduced from volcanic rocks and sediments)



Solar Magnetism Solar Magnetism • Up to 4kG (sunspot umbra) field in solar photosphere • Structured over the full range of observable scales from 100 km to size of Sun • Large scale field shows symmetries with respect to equator magnetogram



Solar Magnetism Yearly Averaged Sunspot Numbers 1610-2010 Maunde Minimum 1700 1650 1600 1750 1850 1950 2000 2050 1800 1 DATE 1900

• Cycle interrupted by grand minima with duration of up to 100 vears

Credit: NASA

ullet Similar overall activity has been present for past $\sim 100,000$ years (tree ring and ice core records of cosmogenic isotopes: C-14 and Be-10).

Magnetic fields in the Universe

- Objects from size of a planet to galaxy clusters have large scale (\sim size of object) magnetic fields
- Physical properties of object differ substantially
 - 1,000 km to 100,000 LJ
 - liquid iron to partially ionized plasma
 - spherical to disk-shaped
 - varying influence of rotation (but all of them are rotating)
 - $R_m \sim 10^3 \dots 10^{18}$

200

100 E

50 E

٥Ē

Sunspot Number 150 E

- Is there a common origin of magnetic field in these objects?
- Can we understand this on basis of MHD?

Galactic magnetism



- Magnetic field derived from polarization of radio emission
- μ G field strength
- Magnetic field follows spiral structure to some extent
- Optically thin dynamo -Dynamo region can be observed!

M51, Credit: MPI for Radioastronomy, Germany

MHD equations

The basic framework for understanding the dynamics of a magnetized fluid are the MHD equations. In their most simple form they are applicable under the following conditions:

- Validity of continuum approximation (enough particles to define averages)
- Strong collisional coupling: validity of single fluid approximations, isotropic (scalar) gas pressure
- Non-relativistic motions, low frequencies, high electrical conductivity

They combine a fluid description in terms of the Navier-Stokes equations with the non-relativistic Maxwell equations as well as Ohm's Law.

Convective derivative

Continuum approximation means that density (ϱ) , velocity (\mathbf{v}) and internal energy (e) can be written a functions of space (\mathbf{x}) and time (t). Quantities can vary in time (along a fluid trajectory) by either having in-stationary fields (time variation at a fixed location) or by having transport of a quantity in an inhomogeneous field (variation in space), i.e.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{d\varrho}{dt} & = & \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\varrho(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v}\Delta t, t + \Delta t) - \varrho(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\Delta t} \\ & = & \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \varrho \end{array}$$

Momentum equation

Starting with Newton's law $m d\mathbf{v}/dt = \mathbf{F}$ we get per volume:

$$\varrho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \varrho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \varrho (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{f} = \underbrace{-\nabla p + \varrho \mathbf{g}}_{Pressure/Buoyancy force} + \underbrace{\nabla \cdot \bar{\tau}}_{Viscous force}$$

Using the equation of continuity:

$$\varrho \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{v}}{\partial t} + \varrho(\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{v} = \frac{\partial(\varrho \boldsymbol{v})}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\varrho \boldsymbol{v}) + (\varrho \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \boldsymbol{v} = \frac{\partial(\varrho \boldsymbol{v})}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\varrho \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v})$$

We can derive the "conservative" form of the momentum equation:

$$\frac{\partial(\varrho \boldsymbol{v})}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot [\varrho \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} + p \bar{\boldsymbol{I}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}] = \varrho \boldsymbol{g}$$

where $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v} = v_i v_k$ denotes the dyadic product.

Internal energy and total energy equation

First law of thermodynamics: dE + pdV = dW. With e = E/m and $Q = V^{-1} dW/dt$ we can write:

$$p \frac{de}{dt} - \frac{p}{\varrho} \frac{d\varrho}{dt} = Q$$

With the equation of continuity and $Q = Q_{\nu} + \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla T)$ (κ heat conductivity) follows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\varrho e) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\nu} \varrho e - \kappa \boldsymbol{\nabla} T) = -p \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} + Q_{\nu}$$

Combination with the kinetic energy equation yields:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\varrho e + \frac{1}{2} \varrho v^2 \right) + \nabla \cdot \left[\mathbf{v} \left(\varrho e + \frac{1}{2} \varrho v^2 + \rho \right) - \mathbf{v} \overline{\mathbf{\tau}} - \kappa \nabla T \right] = \varrho \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g}$$

Equation of continuity

Mass density changes along fluid trajectory if flow is compressible $(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} \neq 0)$:

$$\frac{d\varrho}{dt} = \frac{\partial\varrho}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\varrho = -\varrho \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}$$

Equivalent conservative formulation:

$$\frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\varrho \boldsymbol{\nu}) = 0$$

Change of mass in a fixed control volume Ω is given by mass flux across boundary $\partial \Omega :$

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial t} dV = -\int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\varrho \boldsymbol{v}) dV = -\int_{\partial \Omega} (\varrho \boldsymbol{v}) \boldsymbol{n} dA$$

Kinetic energy equation

With the identity $(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} = \nabla \frac{v^2}{2} - \mathbf{v} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{v})$ follows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{v^2}{2} + \varrho \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \frac{v^2}{2} = -\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{p} + \varrho \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{g} + \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$$

Using again the equation of continuity can derive a "conservative" form:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho \mathbf{v}^2 \right) + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \left[\mathbf{v} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho \mathbf{v}^2 + p \right) - \mathbf{v} \bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}} \right] = p \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{g} - \underline{\bar{\boldsymbol{\tau}}} \cdot \underline{\boldsymbol{\nabla}} \mathbf{v}$$

Here $Q_{\nu} = \bar{\tau} \cdot \nabla v = \tau_{ik} \partial_k v_i$ is the formal expression for the viscous heating.

Navier-Stokes Equations

Primitive formulation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial t} &= -\nabla \cdot (\varrho \mathbf{v}) \\ \varrho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} &= -\varrho (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} - \nabla p + \varrho \mathbf{g} + \nabla \cdot \bar{\tau} \\ \varrho \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} &= -\varrho (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{e} - p \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla T) + Q_{\nu} \end{aligned}$$

Conservative formulation $(E_{HD} = \varrho e + \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2)$:

$$\frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot (\varrho \boldsymbol{v}) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial (\varrho \boldsymbol{v})}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot [\varrho \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} + \rho \boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{\bar{\tau}}] = \varrho \boldsymbol{g}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \boldsymbol{E}_{HD} + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot [\boldsymbol{v} (\boldsymbol{E}_{HD} + \rho) - \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\bar{\tau}} - \kappa \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{T}] = \varrho \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}$$

Navier-Stokes Equations

Viscous stress tensor $ar{ au}$

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_{ik} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ \tau_{ik} &= 2\varrho \nu \left(\Lambda_{ik} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ik} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \right) \\ O_{\nu} &= \tau_{ik} \Lambda_{ik} \; . \end{split}$$

Equation of state (ideal gas)

$$p = (\gamma - 1) \varrho e$$

 ν and $\kappa:$ viscosity and thermal conductivity

Maxwell Equations (non-relativistic)

Assumptions:

- non-relativistic motions and slow evolutions
- high conductivity
- $\longrightarrow E \ll cB, v\lambda \ll j, \partial E/\partial t \ll c^2 \mu_0 j$

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon_0} \qquad \nabla \times \boldsymbol{E} = -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t}$$
$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B} = \mu_0 \boldsymbol{i} \qquad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$$

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}' &= \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v} t \\ \mathbf{i}' &= \mathbf{j} \end{aligned}$

B' = B

Simplified transformations (Galilei-transformation):

$$t' = t$$
$$\lambda' = \lambda - \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{j}}{c^2}$$
$$\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$$

Ohm's law

Simplified Ohm's law

$$\mathbf{i} = \sigma \mathbf{E}$$

with the plasma conductivity

$$\sigma = \frac{\tau_{ei} n_e q_e^2}{m_e}$$

The Ohm's law we derived so far is only valid in the co-moving frame of the plasma. Under the assumption of non-relativistic motions this transforms in the laboratory frame to

$$\boldsymbol{j} = \sigma \left(\boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B} \right)$$

· (#) · (=) · (=) · (=) · (0.00)

Maxwell Equations (relativistic)

Maxwell Equations

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon_0} \qquad \nabla \times \boldsymbol{E} = -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t}$$
$$\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B} = \mu_0 \boldsymbol{j} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t} \qquad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0$$

Transformation of the Lorentz vectors (ct, \mathbf{x}) and $(c\lambda, \mathbf{j})$ $(\gamma = \sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}^{-1})$:

$$t' = \gamma \left(t - \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}}{c^2} \right) \qquad \mathbf{x}' = \gamma \left(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v} t \right)$$
$$\lambda' = \gamma \left(\lambda - \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{j}}{c^2} \right) \qquad \mathbf{j}' = \gamma \left(\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{v} \lambda \right)$$

Transformation of the electro-magnetic field:

Ohm's law

Equation of motion for drift velocity v_d of electrons

$$n_e m_e \left(rac{\partial v_d}{\partial t} + rac{v_d}{ au_{ei}}
ight) = n_e q_e (\boldsymbol{E} + \boldsymbol{v}_d imes \boldsymbol{B}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla} p_e$$

 $\tau_{\it ei}:$ collision time between electrons and ions

 n_e : electron density

 q_e : electron charge

m_e: electron mass

 p_e : electron pressure

With the electric current: $\pmb{j}=n_e\,q_e\,\pmb{v}_d$ this gives the generalized Ohm's law:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{j}}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathbf{j}}{\tau_{ei}} = \frac{n_e q_e^2}{m_e} \mathbf{E} + \frac{q_e}{m_e} \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{q_e}{m_e} \nabla$$

Simplifications:

Induction equation

Using Ampere's law ${\bm \nabla} \times {\bm B} = \mu_0 {\bm j}$ yields for the electric field in the laboratory frame

$$oldsymbol{\mathcal{E}} = -oldsymbol{v} imes oldsymbol{\mathcal{B}} + rac{1}{\mu_0\sigma}oldsymbol{
abla} imes oldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}$$

leading to the induction equation

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{E} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times (\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B} - \eta \, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B})$$

with the magnetic diffusivity

$$\eta = \frac{1}{\mu_0 \sigma}$$

100 (B) (E) (E) (E) (E) (O)

p_e

MHD equations

The full set of MHD equations combines the induction equation with the Navier-Stokes equations including the Lorentz-force:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial t} &= -\nabla \cdot (\varrho \mathbf{v}) \\ \varrho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} &= -\varrho (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v} - \nabla p + \varrho \mathbf{g} + \frac{1}{\mu_0} (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B} + \nabla \cdot \bar{\tau} \\ \varrho \frac{\partial e}{\partial t} &= -\varrho (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) e - p \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla T) + Q_{\nu} + Q_{\eta} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} &= \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} - \eta \nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \end{aligned}$$

Assumptions:

- Validity of continuum approximation (enough particles to define averages)
- Non-relativistic motions, low frequencies
- Strong collisional coupling: validity of single fluid approximations, isotropic (scalar) gas pressure

MHD equations

Viscous stress tensor τ

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_{ik} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ \tau_{ik} &= 2 \varrho \nu \left(\Lambda_{ik} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ik} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \right) \\ Q_{\nu} &= \tau_{ik} \Lambda_{ik} \;, \end{split}$$

Ohmic dissipation \mathcal{Q}_η

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_\eta &= rac{\eta}{\mu_0} (oldsymbol{
abla} imes oldsymbol{B})^2 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Equation of state

 $p=(\gamma-1)\varrho~e~.$ $\nu,~\eta$ and $\kappa:$ viscosity, magnetic diffusivity and thermal conductivity μ_0 denotes the permeability of vacuum

- - (母) - (さ) - (さ) - (うへの